

HOME 2011 Rental Project Scores after Appeals

Applicant Name	Project Name	City	County	Activity	Entity	Assisted Units	Total Units	Total Score	\$ Requested	Total \$	Developer
Self-Help Enterprises	Dinuba Village	Dinuba	Tulare	RNC	CHDO	23	48	1532	\$4,248,516.00	\$4,248,516.00	Self-Help Enterprises
South County Housing Corporation	University Village Apartments	Marina	Monterey	RNC	CHDO	53	108	1475	\$5,100,000.00	\$9,348,516.00	South County Housing Corporation
City of Hughson	Hughson Family Apartments	Hughson	Stanislaus	RNC	SR	48	49	1517	\$3,100,000.00	\$12,448,516.00	Pacific West
City of King City	San Antonio Apartments	King City	Monterey	RNC	SR	56	57	1517	\$3,100,000.00	\$15,548,516.00	Pacific West
City of Gridley	Gridley Springs Apartments	Gridley	Butte	RR	SR	55	56	1515	\$3,950,000.00	\$19,498,516.00	Dawson Holdings, Inc.
City of Williams	Williams Senior Apartments	Williams	Colusa	RNC	SR	47	48	1512	\$3,100,000.00	\$22,598,516.00	Pacific West
City of Dixon	Valley Glen Apartments	Dixon	Solano	RNC	SR	58	59	1497	\$3,100,000.00	\$25,698,516.00	Pacific West
City of Exeter	Exeter Family Apartments	Exeter	Tulare	RNC	SR	48	49	1492	\$3,100,000.00	\$28,798,516.00	Pacific West
NOTE: EXETER'S POVERTY RATE OF 17.3% IS HIGHER THAN IMPERIAL'S, WHICH IS 11.2%, THEREFORE EXETER HAS A HIGHER RANK. GIVEN AVAILABLE FUNDING, EXETER IS THE LAST RENTAL PROJECT APPLICATION THAT CAN BE EVALUATED FOR FUNDING.											
City of Imperial	Las Palmeras Apartments	Imperial	Imperial	RNC	SR	55	56	1492	\$5,000,000.00	\$33,798,516.00	Chelsea Investment Corporation
City of Calipatria	Calipatria Senior Apartments	Calipatria	Imperial	RNC	SR	19	20	1490	\$2,600,000.00	\$36,398,516.00	AMG & Associates
City of Fortuna	Yarrow Village	Fortuna	Humboldt	RNC	SR	25	25	1490	\$4,020,685.00	\$40,419,201.00	Danco Communities
City of Calexico	Villa Primavera Apartments	Calexico	Imperial	RNC	SR	47	48	1487	\$5,100,000.00	\$45,519,201.00	Chelsea Investment Corporation
City of Fort Bragg	The Cottages @ Cypress	Fort Bragg	Mendocino	RNC	SR	24	25	1470	\$3,401,656.00	\$48,920,857.00	Danco Communities
City of Coachella	Vista Montana Apartments	Coachella	Riverside	RNC	SR	55	56	1467	\$5,100,000.00	\$54,020,857.00	Chelsea Investment Corporation
Golden Empire Affordable Housing, Inc. II	Northview Terrace Senior Apartments	Wasco	Kern	RNC	CHDO	60	60	1465	\$3,675,000.00	\$57,695,857.00	Golden Affordable Housing
City of Brawley	Villa Manzanita	Brawley	Imperial	RNC	SR	55	56	1462	\$5,100,000.00	\$62,795,857.00	Chelsea Investment Corporation
City of Yuba	Plumas Family Apartments	Plumas	Sutter	RNC	SR	38	39	1455	\$5,100,000.00	\$67,895,857.00	Global Premier Development
City of Taft	Residences as Supply Row	Taft	Kern	RNC	SR	39	40	1448	\$4,988,109.00	\$72,883,966.00	Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing
City of Lakeport	Lakeport Senior Apartments	Lakeport	Lake	RNC	SR	47	48	1447	\$3,100,000.00	\$75,983,966.00	Pacific West
City of Wasco	Hidden Grove	Wasco	Kern	RNC	SR	35	36	1447	\$5,100,000.00	\$81,083,966.00	Corporation for Better Housing
City of Vacaville	VCH IV	Vacaville	Solano	RR	SR	30	30	1436	\$1,956,607.00	\$83,040,573.00	Vacaville Community Housing
California Human Development	Aytch Plaza	Kelseyville	Lake	RR	CHDO	11	11	1426	\$1,419,787.00	\$84,460,360.00	California Human Development
Sacramento Mutual Housing Association	Mutual Housing at Spring Lake	Woodland	Yolo	RNC	CHDO	53	101	1425	\$5,100,000.00	\$89,560,360.00	Sacramento Mutual Housing Association
City of Petaluma	Kellgren Senior Apartments	Petaluma	Sonoma	RNC	SR	49	50	1420	\$2,850,000.00	\$92,410,360.00	Petaluma Ecumenical Properties
Many Mansions	Peppertree Apartments	Simi Valley	Ventura	RR	CHDO	11	12	1420	\$2,115,006.00	\$94,525,366.00	Many Mansions
Napa Valley Community Housing	Oak Creek Terrace	Napa	Napa	RNC	CHDO	20	41	1417	\$4,385,000.00	\$98,910,366.00	Napa Valley Community Housing
City of Lodi	Tienda Drive Senior Housing	Lodi	San Joaquin	RNC	SR	38	39	1415	\$4,500,000.00	\$103,410,366.00	Eden Housing, Inc.
City of Huron	Rosewood Villas	Huron	Fresno	RNC	SR	80	81	1410	\$6,100,000.00	\$109,510,366.00	Global Premier Development
City of Marina	Rockrose Gardens	Marina	Monterey	RNC	SR	20	21	1410	\$2,983,340.00	\$112,493,706.00	Interim, Inc.
County of Yolo	Esparto Family Apts. Phase II	Esparto	Yolo	RNC	SR	40	40	1405	\$3,100,000.00	\$115,593,706.00	Mercy Housing
County of Tulare	Pixley Village	Pixley	Tulare	RNC	SR	28	29	1402	\$5,100,000.00	\$120,693,706.00	Corporation for Better Housing
West Sacramento Housing Dev. Corp.	Washington Courtyards	West Sacramento	Yolo	RR	CHDO	28	90	1385	\$2,350,000.00	\$123,043,706.00	West Sacramento Housing Dev. Corp
City of Rancho Cordova	Horizons @ New Rancho	Rancho Cordova	Sacramento	RNC	SR	48	48	1342	\$6,100,000.00	\$129,143,706.00	Rancho Cordova, L.P.
City of Lemoore	The Acacias	Lemoore	Kings	RNC	SR	11	81	1332	\$2,258,481.00	\$131,402,187.00	ROEM Development Corporation
City of Anderson	Mosaic Gardens in Anderson	Anderson	Shasta	RNC	SR	32	33	1314	\$5,050,000.00	\$136,452,187.00	LINC Housing Corporation
City of West Sacramento	West Sacramento Triangle	West Sacramento	Yolo	RNC	SR	69	70	1310	\$5,000,000.00	\$141,452,187.00	Bridge Housing
County of Del Norte	Summer Park II Apartments	unincorporated Crescent City	Del Norte	RNC	SR	25	49	1310	\$5,000,000.00	\$146,452,187.00	Cyrus Youssefi
City of Morro Bay	Morro Del Mar Senior Apartments	Morro Bay	San Luis Obispo	RNC	SR	10	20	1292	\$1,652,772.00	\$148,104,959.00	Pacific Southwest Comm. Devel. Corp.
City of Roseville	Pacific Plaza	Roseville	Placer	RNC	SR	29	71	1290	\$5,100,000.00	\$153,204,959.00	USA Multifamily Management, Inc.
City of Palm Springs	Sunset Palm Avenue	Palm Springs	Riverside	RR	SR	11	24	1044	\$1,600,000.00	\$154,804,959.00	Global Premier Development
									\$154,804,959.00		

Note:

- There are a total of 40 applications requesting \$154,804,959.
- Key to abbreviations: SR = State Recipient, CHDO = Community Housing Development Organization, RNC = Rental New Construction, RR = Rental Rehabilitation
- Ranking of applications as represented in this chart is based solely upon initial scoring factors and should not be construed as funding approval. The top eight applications are currently being reviewed for project feasibility. If any of these projects prove to be infeasible, the next-highest ranked project(s) will be considered.
- Per Section 92.300 of the HOME Final Rule, a minimum of 15% of allocated funds are reserved for Department-certified Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO). As a result, the two highest scoring CHDO applications, Self Help Enterprises and South County Housing, will be reviewed for project feasibility.